See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331959297](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331959297_Lower_bounds_for_monotone_circuits_for_CS_721-_Computational_Complexity?enrichId=rgreq-9565c868b1c9c02a0c516453b3c76002-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzMzMTk1OTI5NztBUzo3MzkyNDE0MzY5MDk1NzhAMTU1MzI2MDMwMTg2Ng%3D%3D&el=1_x_2&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

# [Lower bounds for monotone circuits \(for CS 721- Computational Complexity\)](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331959297_Lower_bounds_for_monotone_circuits_for_CS_721-_Computational_Complexity?enrichId=rgreq-9565c868b1c9c02a0c516453b3c76002-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzMzMTk1OTI5NztBUzo3MzkyNDE0MzY5MDk1NzhAMTU1MzI2MDMwMTg2Ng%3D%3D&el=1_x_3&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

**Presentation** · October 2018

CITATIONS 0

READS 5

**2 authors**, including:



[SEE PROFILE](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Meet_Taraviya?enrichId=rgreq-9565c868b1c9c02a0c516453b3c76002-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzMzMTk1OTI5NztBUzo3MzkyNDE0MzY5MDk1NzhAMTU1MzI2MDMwMTg2Ng%3D%3D&el=1_x_7&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

**Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:**



Inference in Probabilistic Programming Languages [View project](https://www.researchgate.net/project/Inference-in-Probabilistic-Programming-Languages?enrichId=rgreq-9565c868b1c9c02a0c516453b3c76002-XXX&enrichSource=Y292ZXJQYWdlOzMzMTk1OTI5NztBUzo3MzkyNDE0MzY5MDk1NzhAMTU1MzI2MDMwMTg2Ng%3D%3D&el=1_x_9&_esc=publicationCoverPdf)

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Lower bounds for monotone circuits

#### Meet Taraviya and Mukesh Pareek

IIT Bombay

CS 721 - Computational Complexity

March 22, 2019

 $\leftarrow$ 

# **Overview**



### **[Definitions](#page-3-0)**

- [Monotone functions](#page-3-0)
- [CLIQUE problem](#page-3-0)

## 2 [Theorem 1](#page-3-0)

- [Proof Terminology](#page-3-0)
- [Circuit Approximator](#page-3-0)
- **[Proof Outline](#page-3-0)**
- 3 [Lemma 1](#page-3-0)
	- 4 [Sunflower Lemma](#page-3-0)
- [Lemma 2](#page-3-0)
- 6 [Lemma 3](#page-3-0)



 $\leftarrow$ 

 $\Omega$ 

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Definition

For  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we denote  $x \preccurlyeq y$  if every bit that is 1 in x is also 1 in y. A function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is *monotone* if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  for every  $x \preccurlyeq y$ .

### Definition

A boolean circuit is said to be monotone if it only contains AND and OR gates.

#### Theorem

Every monotone circuit computes a monotone function, and every monotone function can be computed by a (sufficiently large) monotone circuit.

$$
\bullet \; NP \not\subset P_{/poly} \implies P \neq NP
$$

- If NP does not have polynomial-size circuits then  $NP \not\subset P_{/poly}$
- The aim is to find problems in NP that are hard for poly-size circuits
- Best known lower bounds on non-uniform circuit size for problems in NP is linear, no super polynomial bounds known for even NEXP
- It is believed that the lower bound is exponential
- Razborov proved super polynomial monotone circuit bounds for the NP-complete problem CLIQUE (defined later) [Raz85]
- This was improved by Alon & Bopanna to show exponential bound for CLIQUE [AB87]

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>Clique in a graph

In the mathematical area of graph theory, a **clique** is a subset of vertices of an undirected graph such that every two distinct vertices in the clique are adjacent; that is, its induced subgraph is complete.

### The CLIQUE function

The *clique function f<sub>n</sub>* =  $CLIQUE(n, k)$  has  $\binom{n}{k}$  $\binom{n}{k}$  variables  $x_{ij}$ , one for each potential edge in a graph on n vertices  $[n] = \{1,...,n\}$ ; the function outputs 1 iff the associated graph contains a clique (complete subgraph) on some k vertices.

### Monotonicity of CLIQUE

The clique function is monotone because setting more edges to 1 can only increase the size of the larges clique. If a graph has a clique of size k, the clique can't vanish on adding an edge.

# The CLIQUE problem

## Clique is NP-complete

The clique decision problem is NP-complete. It was one of Richard Karp's original 21 problems shown NP-complete.

## Proof of NP-completeness

The proof shows a many-one reduction from the Boolean satisfiability problem, which was shown to be NP-complete by Cook-Levin.



Figure: The 3-CNF satisfiability instance reduced to Clique. The green vertices form a 3-clique and correspond to a satisfying assign[me](#page-5-0)[nt](#page-7-0)[.](#page-5-0)  $\Omega$ 

### <span id="page-7-0"></span>A weaker problem

Prove that clique decision problem is hard to compute for monotone circuits. Monotone circuits are weaker than general circuits. Originally considered with a hope to extend the results to general circuits.

## Monotone-circuit lower bound for CLIQUE [Raz85a, And85, AB87]

**Theorem :** There exists some constant  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every  $k\le n^{1/4}$ , there's no monotone circuit of size less than  $n$  $\sqrt{k}$  that computes  $CLIQUE<sub>n,k</sub>; i.e. exponential monotone circuit lower bound for clique.$ 

つひひ

# Proof Terminology

### Clique Indicators

For every  $S\subseteq [n]$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_S$  denotes the function on  $\{0,1\}^{{n\choose 2}}$  that outputs  $1$  on a graph G iff the set S is a clique in G and is called the clique indicator of S. Note :  $CLIQUE_{n,k} = \vee_{S\subseteq [n],|S|=k} C_S$ 

### $\mathcal{Y}$  : Distribution of Positive Graphs

It is the distribution of special graphs containing cliques on k vertices. Pick a set  $K \subseteq [n]$  with  $|K| = k$  at random. Output a graph that has a clique on vertices in K, and no other edges.  $Pr[CLIQUE<sub>n k</sub>(y)] = 1] = 1$ 

### $\mathcal N$  : Distribution of Negative Graphs

It is the distribution of special graphs with no clique of size k. Pick a function  $c : [n] \rightarrow [k-1]$  at random. Output a graph that has an edge between u and v iff  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . Pr[CLIQUE<sub>n,k</sub> (N) = 0] = 1

To analyze the circuit, we approximate every small monotone circuit by a special type of monotone circuits characterized by DNFs. **Note** :  $C_S = \wedge_{i \neq j \in S} x_{ij}$ ; is a monomial depending on  ${ |S| \choose 2}$  $_2^{\mathsf{S}\vert}$ ) variables

### (m,l)-approximator

An  $(m, I)$ -approximator, is an OR of at most m clique indicators, each of whose underlying vertex sets have cardinality at most l:

$$
A = \vee_{t=1}^{r} C_{S_t} = \vee_{t=1}^{r} \wedge_{i \neq j \in S_t} x_{ij} \quad (r \leq m, |S_t| \leq l)
$$
 (1)

 $l > 2$  and  $m > 2$  are parameters depending only on values of k and n; which will be fixed later to complete the proof

We start by assuming that there exists a monotone circuit F computing  $f_n = CLIQUE(n, k)$ , and let F' be the approximated circuit, that is, an (m,l)-approximator of the last gate of F. We show that:

- Every approximator (including F') must make a lot of errors, that is, disagree with  $f_n$  on many negative an positive graphs.
- $\bullet$  If size(F) is small, then F' cannot make too many errors.

This will imply that size(F) must be large.

 $\Omega$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Every approximator either rejects all graphs or wrongly accepts at least a fraction  $1-l^2/(k-1)$  of all  $(k-1)^n$  negative graphs.

**Proof** : Let  $A = \vee_{i=1}^{r} C_{S_i}$  be an (m,I)-*approximator*, and assume that A accepts at least one graph. Then  $A \geq C_{S_1}.$ We have  $\binom{|S_1|}{2}$  pairs of vertices in  $S_1$  and for each such pair at most  $(k-1)^{n-1}$  colorings assign the same color. Thus at most,  $\binom{|S_1|}{2} (k-1)^{n-1} \leq \binom{N}{2}$  $\binom{1}{2}(k-1)^{n-1}$  negative graphs can be rejected by  $C_{S_1}$ and hence, by the approximator A.

Thus, every approximator (including F') must make a lot of errors.

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>Constructing the approximator  $F'$

Given a monotone circuit F of size s for the  $CLIQUE_{n,k}$ , we will construct an  $(m, l)$  approximator  $F'$  for  $F$  in a "bottom-up" manner, starting from the input variables. Approximator for input variable  $\mathsf{x}_{ij}$  will be  $\mathsf{C}_{\{i,j\}}.$ 

For an internal node  $f \vee g$  (resp.  $f \wedge g$ ) we describe the construction of an  $(m,l)$  approximator  $f \sqcup g$  (resp.  $f \sqcap g$ ) such that  $F'$  does not make too many errors, i.e.

### Lemma 2

The number of positive graphs wrongly rejected by  $F'$  is at most  $s \cdot m^2 \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  $_{k-l-1}^{n-l-1}$ ).

#### Lemma 3

The number of negative graphs wrongly accepted by  $F'$  is at most  $s \cdot m^2 l^{2p} (k-1)^{n-p}.$ 

### We will also use sunflower lemma in our const[ruc](#page-11-0)[tio](#page-13-0)[n.](#page-12-0)

 $QQ$ 

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>Sunflower lemma

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal Z$  be a collection of distinct sets each of cardinality at most l. If  $|\mathcal{Z}|>(p-1)^l$ !! then there exist  $p$  sets  $Z_1,...,Z_p\in\mathcal{Z}$  and set  $Z$  such that  $Z_i \cap Z_j = Z$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$ .

#### Proof.

By induction.  $l = 1 : Z = \phi$  works.

Assume the statement is true for  $l = k - 1$ . For  $l = k$ , assume we have  $M \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ , a maximal set of pairwise disjoint sets. If  $|M| > p$ , we have  $Z = \phi$ . Otherwise, each  $x \in \bigcup M$  occurs in some  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  (by maximality).  $|\cup M| \leq k(p-1)$ . Hence some x occurs in more than  $\frac{(\rho-1)^k k!}{(\rho-1)k}=(\rho-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!$  sets in  ${\mathcal Z}.$  After removing  $x$  from these sets, each set will be of size at most  $k - 1$  and hence have a sunflower of size p with  $k-1$  elements in each petal. Adding x to each petal gives a sunflower with  $k$  elements in each petal.

#### Theorem

There is a  $\mathcal Z$ , a collection of size  $(p-1)^{l}$  of distinct sets each of cardinality at most l, with no sunflower with p petals.

### Proof.

 $\mathcal{Z} = \{ \{ (i, f(i)) | i \in [l] \} | f : [l] \rightarrow [p-1] \}$  Consider  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  where  $|M| = p$ . Let  $(i, j)$  be an element not present in all sets in M. There are  $p-1$  elements of the form  $(i, *)$ . So there are  $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $(i,j) \in M \cap M'$  for some  $j$ , but  $(i,j) \notin \cap \mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is not a sunflower.

If f and g are  $(m, l)$ -functions, such that

$$
f = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} C_{S_i}, g = \bigvee_{j=1}^{m} C_{T_j}
$$

 $h = f \vee g$  has at most 2m clauses, and hence may not be a  $(m, l)$ function. So we repeatedly replace groups of clauses  $\mathsf{C}_{Z_1}... \mathsf{C}_{Z_p}$  with a stronger clause  $C_7$  using sunflower lemma, until the number of clauses left is at most m. We call this procedure plucking. We define  $f \sqcup g$  as the function obtained after plucking. To be able to apply sunflower lemma, we set  $m := I!(p - 1)^{I}$ .

つへへ

# f  $\Box g$

If f and g are  $(m, l)$ -functions, such that

$$
f = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} C_{S_i}, g = \bigvee_{j=1}^{m} C_{T_j}
$$

we define

$$
h=\bigvee_{i=1}^m\bigvee_{j=1}^m C_{S_i\cup T_j}
$$

which has at most  $m^2$  clauses. We remove clauses  $\mathsf{C}_\mathsf{Z}$  with  $|\mathsf{Z}|>l$  and reduce the number of clauses to at most  $m$  by repeatedly applying the sunflower lemma as before (*plucking*). We define  $f \sqcap g$  as the function obtained by this procedure. Note that

$$
f \wedge g = \bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigvee_{j=1}^{m} C_{S_i} \wedge C_{T_j} \neq h
$$

 $\Omega$ 

# Lemma 2

We defined  $f \sqcup g$  by replacing some clauses from  $f \lor g$  with a weaker clause. So  $f \sqcup g$  does not wrongly reject **positive** graphs. Thus plucking does not introduce false negatives.

To approximate  $f\wedge g$ , we first replace  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_i}\wedge\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{T}_j}$  with  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_i\cup\mathcal{T}_j}$ , which behave identically on positive graphs. Hence this step does not introduce false negatives. Then we remove clauses with  $| \mathcal{S}_i \cup \mathcal{T}_j | > l.$  Because of this, we wrongly reject positive graphs in which  $S_i \cup \mathcal{T}_j$  is a clique - there are at most  $\binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  $\binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  such graphs. Since we remove at most  $m^2$  clauses, we wrongly reject at most  $m^2 \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  $_{k-l-1}^{n-l-1}$ ) positive graphs. After this, we do plucking, which does not introduce any false negatives. Thus approximating  $f \wedge g$  using  $f \sqcap g$  introduces at most  $m^2 \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  $_{k-l-1}^{n-l-1}$ ) false negatives.

Since there are at most  $s$  AND gates,  $F'$  wrongly rejects at most  $s \cdot m^2 \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  $_{k-l-1}^{n-l-1}$ ) positive graphs. K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 』 ◇ Q Q @ # Wrongly accepted negative graphs when approximating  $f \vee g$  using  $f \sqcup g$ ? We will show that each plucking  $Z_1, ..., Z_p \rightarrow Z$  increases this number by at most  $l^{2p}(k-1)^{n-p}$  and we will do at most  $2m$  such pluckings in one approximation step  $\Rightarrow$  at most  $2ml^{2p}(k-1)^{n-p}$  wrongly accepted negative graphs OR gate.

Z must be a clique and none of  $Z_i$ s is a clique. We defined  $G \in \mathcal{N}$  using a random function  $c : [n] \rightarrow [k-1]$  with an edge between u and v whenever  $c(u) \neq c(v)$ . So we need c to be one-to-one on Z (event B) without being one to one on any  $Z_i$  (event  $A_i$ ).  $Pr[A_i|B] =$  probability of collision in  $Z_i \setminus Z \leq \frac{l^2}{k-1}$  $\frac{l^2}{k-1}$ . Since  $Z_i \setminus Z$  are disjoint,  $Pr[A_1 \wedge ... \wedge A_p \wedge B] \leq Pr[A_1 \wedge ... \wedge A_p | B] = \prod_{i=1}^p Pr[A_i | B] \leq l^{2p} (k-1)^{-p}.$ 

 $\Omega$ 

 $A \cup B \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow B$ 

For calculating the approximator  $f\sqcap g$ , replacing  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_i}\wedge\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{T}_j}$  with  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_i\cup\mathcal{T}_j}$  or removing clauses with  $|S_i \cup \mathcal{T}_j|>l$  does not introduce any false positives. Each plucking introduces at most  $l^{2p}(k-1)^{n-p}$  false positives, with at most  $m^2$  pluckings. Thus approximating AND gates introduces at most  $m^2l^{2p}(k-1)^{n-p}$  false positives on negative graphs.

Thus each gate introduces at most  $m^2l^{2p}(k-1)^{n-p}$  false positives on negative graphs. Hence  $F'$  wrongly accepts at most  $s \cdot m^2 l^{2p} (k-1)^{n-p}$ negative graphs.

つへへ

#### Theorem

For 3  $\leq$  k  $\leq$  n<sup>1/4</sup>, the monotone circuit complexity of CLIQUE(n, k) is  $n^{\Omega(\sqrt{k})}$ 

### Proof.

Let F be a monotone circuit of size s deciding  $CLIQUE(n, k)$ . Construct  $F'$  as described using  $l = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{k-1}}{2} \rfloor$  $\frac{k-1}{2}$ ,  $p = \Theta(\sqrt{k} \log n)$  and  $m = I!(p - 1)^{l} \leq (pl)^{l}$ . By lemma 1, there are 2 cases.

If F' is identically 0, applying lemma 2 gives  $s \cdot m^2 \binom{n-l-1}{k-l-1}$  $_{k-l-1}^{n-l-1}) \geq {n \choose k}$  $\binom{n}{k} \Rightarrow s$  is  $n^{\Omega(\sqrt{k})}$ . (Because  $\binom{n}{k}$  $\binom{n}{k} / \binom{n-x}{k-x}$  $\binom{n-x}{k-x} \geq \frac{(n/k)^x}{n}.$ 

If  $F'$  outputs 1 on at least  $(1-\frac{l^2}{k-1}\geq \frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) fraction of all negative graphs,  $\frac{1}{2}(k-1)^n \Rightarrow s \text{ is } n^{\Omega(\sqrt{k})}.$ applying lemma 4 gives  $s\cdot m^22^{-p}(k-1)^n\geq \frac{1}{2}$  $\Box$ 

 $(4)$ 

#### Theorem

For every constant k, the function CLIQUE(n,n-k) can be computed by a monotone formula containing at most  $\mathcal{O}(n^2 \text{log} n)$  gates. The number of gates remains polynomial in n as long as  $\mathsf{k}=\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{k})$ √ logn); cliques of size  $n - k$  are easy to detect when k is small. [Andreev-Jukna 2008]

**Proof**: We consider the dual of the function CLIQUE(n,n-k) Dual of a boolean function  $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is the function  $f^*(x_1,...x_n) = \neg f(\neg x_1,...,\neg x_n)$ Dual of CLIQUE(n,n-k) accepts a given graph G on n vertices iff G has no independent set with n-k vertices  $\implies$ Vertex cover number of G:  $\tau(G) > k+1$ This problem can be solved by montonic formula of polynomial size.

 $\Omega$ 

### $\mathsf{NP} \neq \mathsf{P}$

$$
(P \subseteq P/poly = PSIZE) \land (NP \nsubseteq PSIZE) \implies P \neq NP
$$

### $NP \nsubseteq BPP$

$$
(BPP \subseteq P/\mathit{poly}) \land (NP \nsubseteq PSIZE) \implies NP \nsubseteq BPP
$$

### Open Problem

Whether this results holds for PSIZE; class of languages computable by polynomial size general circuits is still an open problem.

 $\leftarrow$ 

# Questions?

4 日下

**∢ 何 ≯ →** ∍ × ≃ 画

# <span id="page-24-0"></span>Thank You!

4 日下

×. n ⊱ 1 ≃

活